EXHIBIT A

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3		
4	IN RE:	. Case No. 05-10578 (ALG)
5	TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC., et al,	New York, New YorkMonday, February 27 , 2006
6	Debtors.	. 10:05 a.m.
7		•
	TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING	
8	VOLUME 1	
9	SECTION 1113 and SECTION 1114 MOTIONS BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLAN L. GROPPER	
10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	For the Debtors:	Anup Sathy, Esq.
13		John F. Hagan, Jr., Esq. R. Chris Heck, Esq.
14		Joel A. Blanchet, Esq. Alex C. Levine, Esq.
15		Brian M. Goldberg, Esq. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP
		200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601
16		(312) 861-2000
17		Alexander T. Nguyen, Esq.
18		KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street NW
19		Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 879-5000
20	(Appearances continued)	(202) 073 3000
21	Audio Operator:	Kendra H.
22	Transcription Company:	Rand Transcript Service, Inc.
23		311 Cheyenne Road Lafayette, New Jersey 07848 (973) 383-6977
24		
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.	

Pretrial Conference

1.5

1 (Proceedings commence at 10:05 a.m.)
2 THE COURT: Please be seated.

1.3

Tower Automotive. May I have appearances, please?

MR. SATHY: Good morning, Your Honor. Anup Sathy, John Hagan, and Chris Heck from Kirkland & Ellis, on behalf of the debtors.

MR. DIZENGOFF: Good morning, Your Honor. Ira
Dizengoff and Jonathan Sulds, from Akin Gump, on behalf of
the Official Creditors' Committee.

MR. LEVINE: Good morning, Your Honor. Bruce
Levine, Cohen, Weiss & Simon, on behalf of United Steel,
Paper, Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied
Industrial and Service Workers International Union;
hereinafter, "USW."

MR. PETERSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Lowell Peterson, Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, for -- I'll go straight for the abbreviations -- the UAW and -- the UAW and the IUE-CWA.

MR. BENNETT: Good morning, Your Honor. Steven

Bennett from Jones Day for the Retiree Committee. With me

today is Paul Harner from our Chicago office. I think the

Court graciously has granted him pro hac vice on appearance.

MR. HARNER: Good morning, Your Honor.

MR. BENNETT: He actually has most details about negotiations issues of the Court wants to hear.

simply to let the other side know if there was a question of authenticity that they had to deal with. If there's a question of relevance, we'll deal with it when the particular exhibit is moved for admission. As to the motions in limine, I have read them. I understand your positions and you can renew them when the individual is either testifying or after he's been qualified and if there's a necessity for voir dire, it's possible, but there is no jury and under the circumstances, it's certainly likely that I'll be, on both sides, very willing to hear the testimony, as long as we can get it done during the time we have, or expand the time if we need to.

MR. BENNETT: As long as there's a record of this stuff, I think the Court could probably dispense with any kind of argument on it and just conduct the proceedings subject to the motions that have been filed.

THE COURT: We can also hold the objections as to qualifications until findings of fact and conclusions of law after the hearing and -- because I think most of them probably go, more than anything else, to the weight that should be afforded to the testimony of the individual, his background, his expertise and how much weight should be given to -- to the opinions.

MR. BENNETT: That's it for the tail, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1.3

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HAGAN: We are agreeable with that, as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And just for the record, the concept of the tail is not meant to be invidious at all. It's only meant to take account of the amount of dollars at stake for the various constituencies. I realize, and I know every party participating in this proceeding realizes that the dollars are very important and they ultimately are important for individuals, but different constituencies, obviously, have different dollar stakes overall and that's relevant under the statute. Yes, sir?

MR. HAGAN: I believe the last item on the agenda, and Counsel will correct me if I'm wrong, is actually one not involving the debtors. It's a motion by the unions, I believe, with respect to the joinder of the Creditors' Committee and I don't know if they wanted to pursue that at this time, but I believe that's the last item on the agenda.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, we stated our position in the papers. We have no further comment.

THE COURT: All right. I think, under the <u>Caldor</u> case, the Creditors' Committee is certainly entitled to be heard. Whether and to what extent, obviously, remains to be seen, but I'm not going to exclude them. Yes, ma'am?

MS. ROBBINS: Your Honor, before we get into trial testimony, I just wanted to confirm on the record that given

1.3

the settlement or the tentative agreement that we've reached, subject to ratification and Court approval, that the motion and the objection of the Milwaukee Unions, with respect to 1113 and 1114, is held in abeyance.

THE COURT: Does anyone wish to be heard with regard to that treatment?

MR. HAGAN: Yes, Your Honor. With the tentative agreement with the Milwaukee Unions, the debtors are in agreement to hold the 1113 or 1113 and 1114 motions in abeyance as to Ms. Robbins and the unions she represents.

MR. BENNETT: The only very small bit has to do with the motion for clarification. I think that's been renewed.

It's up for the Court's determination. I think that will be part of the proceedings. No need for argument at this point.

THE COURT: All right. Well, Ms. Goldstein, I very much appreciate the efforts on both sides to come to an agreement. I repeat, once again, that I'm sure it's a much better job than I could do and I know that the best thing I can do is to say if you wish to stay, you're welcome, but if you wish to leave, you're excused, either now or at lunchtime.

MS. ROBBINS: Yeah. Thank you, Your Honor. We are going to work on documentation.

THE COURT: All right. I would hate to penalize anyone who settles by requiring them to stay.

Colloquy 1.199

if you would like briefing in terms of conclusions of law and fact.

THE COURT: It's -- whatever the parties wishes is fine with me. I just don't want to lose track of the issue and then find that we have a lengthy problem at the end when everyone is running for an airplane or -- but at least for those who are lucky enough not to live in New York.

Yes, sir?

1.3

MR. HAGAN: Yeah. I just have -- and maybe to that end, maybe put on the record, I think something Steve and I spoke about -- Mr. Bennett and I spoke about, which is the parties did written objections to exhibits as part of your scheduling order. So I -- my view would be, and certainly counsel can disagree, if someone did not make a written objection to an exhibit and it is offered with a witness, the whole purpose of that is to sort of advance notice of objections that on both sides those exhibits would come in.

Where there have been written objections and the document is offered with the witness, that could be subject to a written presentation at the end of the case in terms of which exhibits should be admitted or not based on the testimony and what weight it should be given. That'll be my proposal.

THE COURT: Well, why don't the parties discuss that overnight --

Pg 8 of 9
Colloquy 1.200

MR. HAGAN: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- and see what we can do for the -- and, you know, for the simplest resolution of any issue, if there is an issue.

MR. HAGAN: Sure. I anticipate we'll be able to work it out, but we'll let you know if we can't.

THE COURT: I'm sure.

MR. HAGAN: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. What time shall we start tomorrow? We're doing well. We could start at -- do you want to start at 9:30 tomorrow or 10?

MR. HAGAN: Whatever is convenient for the Court.

THE COURT: Should we start at 9:30 and --

MR. BENNETT: No preference, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll start at 9:30 then.

MR. HAGAN: 9:30 it is.

THE COURT: Thank you. Papers -- you might leave them in the room next door. If you can box them up or we'll lock the door. You can -- as far as I'm concerned, you can leave them here. Or if you -- I think the -- do the Debtors have a room that you're using for witnesses and --

MR. BENNETT: I believe so.

THE COURT: If you have a -- and, in fact -- and if the other parties want a room we can try to find you a room, too, if you have witnesses, but you can certainly leave the

05-44481-rdd Doc 3640-1 Filed 05/05/06 Entered 05/05/06 16:22:56 Exhibit A Pg 9 of 9

```
1.201
                                Colloquy
    documents here.
1
        (Counsel confer.)
2
             THE COURT: Thank you very much.
3
        (Concluded at 5:37 p.m.)
4
                             CERTIFICATION
5
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
6
7
   from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
   above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and
8
   ability.
9
10
                                               February 28, 2006
    Coleen Rand
11
    Certified Court Transcriptionist/Agency Director
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```